**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**
**Patent and Trademark Office**
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

| EXAMINER | |
|---|---|
| | |
| **ART UNIT** | **PAPER NUMBER** |
| 1646 | 3 |

**DATE MAILED:**

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

| Office Action Summary | Application No. 09/429,832 | Applicant(s) Bhat et al |
|---|---|---|
| | Examiner Nirmal. S. Basi | Group Art Unit 1646 |

Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____ .

This action is **FINAL**.

Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire *1* month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

**Disposition of Claims**

X Claim(s) *1-23* is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.

Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

X Claims *1-23* are subject to restriction or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

received.

received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____ .

received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____ .

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

**Attachment(s)**

Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____

Interview Summary, PTO-413

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- *SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES* ---

## DETAILED ACTION

1. This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set forth on the attached Notice To Comply With Requirements For Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures.

Applicant must comply with the sequence rules, 37 CFR 1.821 - 1.825 within the statutory period set for response to this office action. Failure to comply with these requirements will result in ABANDONMENT of the application under 37 CFR 1.821(g). Extensions of time may be obtained by filing a petition accompanied by the extension fee under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no case may an applicant extend the period for response beyond the SIX MONTH statutory period. Direct the response to the undersigned. Applicant is requested to return a copy of the attached Notice to Comply with the response.

3. ***Election/Restriction***

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 17-18 drawn to isolated polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO:2, or fragments thereof, classified in class 530, subclass 350.

II. Claims 1-16, drawn to the isolated nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO:2 encoding the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:1, vectors encoding, cells containing the afore

mentioned expression vectors and a method of production and recovery of said protein from said cells, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1, for example .

III. Claim 23, drawn to antibody that binds to hERβ, classified in class 530, subclass 387.9, for example.

IV. Claim 19-22, drawn to a method for identifying hERβ-interactive compounds, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1 for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The proteins of Invention I are related to the nucleic acids of Invention II by virtue of encoding the same. The DNA molecule has utility for the recombinant production of the protein in a host cell. Although the DNA molecule and protein are related since the DNA encodes the specifically claimed protein, they are distinct inventions because they are physically and functionally distinct chemical entities, and the protein product can be made by another and materially different process, such as by synthetic peptide synthesis or purification from the natural source. Further, the DNA may be used for the processes other than the production of the protein, such as nucleic acid hybridization.

The methods of Inventions II are related to the proteins of Invention I as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP

§ 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed may be isolated from its natural source or made by chemical peptide synthesis.

The proteins of Invention I are related to antibodies of Invention III by virtue of being the cognate antigen, necessary for the production of the antibodies. Although the protein and antibody are related due to the necessary stearic complementary of the two, they are distinct inventions because they are physically and functionally distinct chemical entities, and because the protein can be used in another and materially different process from the use for production of the antibody, such as in a pharmaceutical composition in its own right or in assays for the identification of agonists of the receptor protein.

The proteins Inventions I and the method of Inventions IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the proteins may be used for the production of antibodies of Invention III.

The products of Inventions I-III are distinct because they have distinct functional, chemical and physical properties capable of separate use and manufacture.

The products of Invention II and III are distinct from the method of Invention IV wherein the products of Invention II and III can neither be used in nor made by the method of Invention IV.

The methods of Inventions I-IV are distinct from each other because they are independent, using separate method steps, active agents and having different effects.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. A search of the art for Inventions I-IV would not be co-extensive with each other. Because the searches required for these inventions are not co-extensive an examination of the materially different, patentably distinct inventions in a single application would constitute a serious burden on the examiner.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

**Advisory Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nirmal Basi whose telephone number is (703) 308-9435. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, can be reached on (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-0294.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Nirmal S. Basi
Art Unit 1646
December 15, 2000

Yvonne Eyler
YVONNE EYLER, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application No.: 09/409,872

## NOTICE TO COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR PATENT APPLICATIONS CONTAINING NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE AND/OR AMINO ACID SEQUENCE DISCLOSURES

The nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosure contained in this application does not comply with the requirements for such a disclosure as set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.821 - 1.825 for the following reason(s):

- [X] 1. This application clearly fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.821-1.825. Applicant's attention is directed to these regulations, published at 1114 OG 29, May 15, 1990 and at 55 FR 18230, May 1, 1990.
- [X] 2. This application does not contain, as a separate part of the disclosure on paper copy, a "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(c).
- [X] 3. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has not been submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
- [ ] 4. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has been submitted. However, the content of the computer readable form does not comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.822 and/or 1.823, as indicated on the attached copy of the marked-up "Raw Sequence Listing."
- [ ] 5. The computer readable form that has been filed with this application has been found to be damaged and/or unreadable as indicated on the attached CRF Diskette Problem Report. A Substitute computer readable form must be submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.825(d).
- [ ] 6. The paper copy of the "Sequence Listing" is not the same as the computer readable from of the "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
- [ ] 7. Other:

### Applicant Must Provide:

- [X] An initial or substitute computer readable form (CRF) copy of the "Sequence Listing".
- [X] An initial or substitute paper copy of the "Sequence Listing", as well as an amendment directing its entry into the specification.
- [X] A statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d).

For questions regarding compliance to these requirements, please contact:

For Rules Interpretation, call (703) 308-4216
For CRF Submission Help, call (703) 308-4212
For PatentIn software help, call (703) 308-6856

**PLEASE RETURN A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH YOUR RESPONSE**